

SECTOR COMMENT

29 April 2020



Contacts

Earl Heffintrayer, CFA +1.214.979.6860
VP-Senior Analyst
 earl.heffintrayer@moodys.com

Kurt Krummenacker +1.212.553.7207
Senior Vice President/Manager
 kurt.krummenacker@moodys.com

Leroy Terrelonge 1.212.553.2816
AVP-Cyber Risk Analyst
 leroy.terrelonge@moodys.com

Jim Hempstead +1.212.553.4318
MD-Utilities
 james.hempstead@moodys.com

Myra Shankin +1.212.553.2814
VP-Senior Analyst
 myra.shankin@moodys.com

Moses Kopmar +1.212.553.2846
AVP-Analyst
 moises.kopmar@moodys.com

Jose Mendez +1.212.553.4783
Analyst
 jose.mendez@moodys.com

Julie E Meyer +1.214.979.6855
Analyst
 julie.meyer@moodys.com

Airports – US

Airports have options to use CARES Act funds to prevent technical events of default

The recently passed Coronavirus Aid, Relief and Economic Stimulus (CARES) Act provides US airports with a significant amount of cash grants to offset lost revenue during the coronavirus-driven downturn in travel demand. Most US airports' debt documents specifically exclude grant funds from being included as revenue in the calculation of rate covenants. However, airports are finding ways to apply the grant funds to support required payments and prevent technical events of default, primarily by using the funds to offset operating expense requirements to increase net revenue or to reduce debt service requirements that must be paid by net revenue. Airports also have the ability to amend definitions to include grants from specific legislation to apply, as some did to include the federal interest subsidy for Build America Bonds issued following the 2008-09 recession. Some airports may not be able to find ways to include the grants in a timely manner to stave off a technical default.

Our [definition of default](#) does not include so-called technical defaults unless the obligor fails to cure the violation and fails to honor the resulting debt acceleration that may be required. Technical defaults are defined defaults that given lenders additional rights, but are not payment defaults. Missing the rate covenant, which is typically based on a calculation of debt service coverage ratio (DSCR), may become a technical event of default on either the first or second consecutive year of noncompliance. In most instances, raising rates in the year following the first missed rate covenant cures the default. Technical events of default pose risk to bondholders if investors elect to accelerate debt in the face of the default. However, because US airport bond investors do not have access to assets and typically only have recourse to the airport revenue stream with similar classes of investor, there is not a clear rationale for accelerating for a missed rate covenant. We do not currently expect any defaults per our definition if air travel substantially recovers in the next 24 to 36 months.

To help avoid payment default, grant funding will supplement existing liquidity to meet airports' financial obligations despite uncertainty as to the legal classification of the inflow. CARES Act funding will provide a sizable source of liquidity for most airports (see Appendix for final CARES Act grants). We estimate that each airport's announced funding will generally provide funds for six to 12 months of operating expense and debt service, though some small airports will receive amounts that achieve far greater lengths. Federal Aviation Administration guidance indicates that airports will have to submit invoices for paid operating and debt service expenses, suggesting that payments will be paid on a reimbursement basis. If funds are only given as reimbursements, most airports have adequate existing liquidity to front the payments. Generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) accounting, which guides

revenue and expense recognition for most airports, may also introduce some mismatches that could contribute to missed rate covenants.

However, grants are most commonly excluded from the definition of revenue in existing airport debt documentation like indentures, bond ordinances, etc. Some indentures allows grants to be included as revenue in the event that they are not limited in purpose, as is the case with CARES Act grants, which are available for any legal purpose. Only a few airports can include the grants as revenue under existing definitions.

Where grants are not defined as revenue, airports will use grants to pay operating expenses or debt service. Airports that apply grant funding to operating expense may be able to reduce the operating expense considered in covenants to increase net revenue. Similarly, airports can apply grant funding to pay debt service to reduce annual definitional requirements. Airports could also use funds to defease debt that would have the similar effects. All options provide additional relief to airlines by reducing the obligation that airlines will have to cover under rate-recovery frameworks. Airports with net revenue rate covenants above 1.0x may be more likely to apply funds toward debt service if the airport is looking to minimize airline charges. Exhibit 1 shows an illustrative example of how an airport with a rate covenant of 1.25x (without benefit from a rolling coverage account) could apply \$50 of grant funding to offset a 50% decrease in nonairline revenue.

Exhibit 1

Applying grant funding to debt service minimizes airline costs but results in weaker net revenue coverage

Illustrative example of how an airport with a rate covenant of 1.25x could apply \$50 of grant funding to offset a 50% decrease in nonairline revenue

	Pre-Outbreak	Grants not applied	Grant applied as revenue	Grant to offset opex	Grant applied to debt service
Airline Revenue	100	100	100	100	100
Non-Airline Revenue	150	75	75	75	75
CARES Act Funding			50		
less Operating Expense	-125	-125	-125	-75	-125
Net Revenue	125	50	100	100	50
Debt Service	100	100	100	100	50
DSCR before "true up"	1.25x	0.50x	1.00x	1.00x	1.00x
Required increase in airline revenue to meet rate covenant		75	25	25	12.5
% Increase		75%	25%	25%	13%
DSCR using all inflows over all outflows		1.25x	1.25x	1.25x	1.13x
Bond ordinance DSCR		1.25x	1.25x	1.25x	1.25x

This assumes that all debt service is assigned to a cost center with airline rate recovery.

Source: Moody's Investors Service

Separately, airports seeking to provide outright relief to airlines will maintain credit quality, regardless of missing the rate covenant and a technical event of default. Airports that are connecting hubs, which typically rely on a primary airline under a long-term lease, will be more likely to provide outright relief to airlines from the grants or existing liquidity. We view reasonable relief to airlines to be an appropriate mitigation against the potential of an airline bankruptcy. Though airline use and lease agreements have been accepted in previous airline bankruptcies, any bankruptcy introduces risk that the airline could significantly reduce space. Though the costs will be absorbed by other airlines, the transition period is unsettled with an increase in risk.

This publication does not announce a credit rating action. For any credit ratings referenced in this publication, please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moody's.com for the most updated credit rating action information and rating history.

Appendix: CARES Act grant amounts for airport enterprises we rate

Exhibit 2

Issuer Name	Rating	CARES Act Grant (\$ million)	CARES Grant as % of FY18 Operating Revenue + PFCS
A.B. Won Guam International Airport Authority, GU	Baa2 negative	20.7	27%
Alaska (State of) Airport Enterprise, AK	A1 stable	48.1	32%
Albany County Airport Authority, NY	A3 stable	15.3	30%
Albuquerque (City of) NM Airport Enterprise, NM	A1 stable	19.7	29%
Atlanta (City of) GA Airport Enterprise, GA	Aa3 stable	338.5	46%
Augusta (City of) GA Airport Enterprise, GA	Baa2 stable	19.4	115%
Austin (City of) TX Airport Enterprise	A1 stable	58.7	30%
Billings (City of) MT Airport Enterprise, MT	Baa2 stable	12.7	110%
Birmingham Airport Authority, AL	A3 stable	18.7	36%
Boise City (City of) ID Airport Enterprise, ID	A1 stable	18.9	55%
Broward (County of) FL Airport Enterprise, FL	A1 stable	135.0	38%
Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority, CA	A2 stable	21.1	33%
Burlington (City of) VT Airport Enterprise, VT	Baa2 stable	8.7	41%
Capital Region Airport Commission, VA	A2 stable	18.8	34%
Charleston County Airport District, SC	A1 stable	22.3	36%
Charlotte (City of) NC Airport Enterprise, NC	Aa3 stable	135.6	45%
Chicago (City of) IL Midway Airport Enterprise, IL	A3 stable	82.3	33%
Chicago (City of) IL O'Hare Airport Enterprise, IL	A2 stable	294.4	24%
Clark (County of) NV Airport Enterprise, NV	Aa3 stable	195.5	31%
Cleveland (City of) OH Airport Enterprise, OH	A3 stable	46.3	28%
Dallas (City of) TX Airport Enterprise, TX	A1 stable	53.8	35%
Dallas-Fort Worth International Airport Board, TX	A1 stable	299.2	28%
Denver (City & County of) CO Airport Enterprise, CO	A1 stable	269.1	29%
Des Moines (City of) IA Airport Enterprise, IA	A2 stable	23.1	53%
Eagle County Air Terminal Corporation, CO	Baa2 stable	3.3	30%
Fresno (City of) CA Airport Enterprise, CA	Baa1 stable	12.9	44%
Grand Junction Regional Airport Authority, CO	Baa2 stable	5.7	75%
Greater Orlando Aviation Authority, FL	Aa3 stable	170.7	27%
Greenville-Spartanburg Airport District, SC	A2 stable	25.8	62%
Hawaii (State of) Airport Enterprise, HI	A1 stable	133.3	34%
Hillsborough County Aviation Authority, FL	Aa3 stable	81.0	31%
Horry (County of) SC Airport Enterprise, SC	A2 stable	19.3	63%
Houston (City of) TX Airport Enterprise, TX	A1 stable	200.1	32%
Huntsville Madison County Airport Authority, AL	A3 stable	13.8	40%
Indianapolis Airport Authority, IN	A1 stable	52.3	29%
Kansas City (City of) MO Airport Enterprise, MO	A2 stable	43.3	29%
Kenton County Airport Board, KY	A1 stable	42.9	35%

Issuer Name	Rating	CARES Act Grant (\$ million)	CARES Grant as % of FY18 Operating Revenue + PFCS
Lee (County of) FL Airport Enterprise, FL	A2 stable	36.6	32%
Long Beach (City of) CA Airport Enterprise, CA	A3 stable	18.4	33%
Los Angeles International Airport Enterprise, CA	Aa2 stable	323.6	20%
Manchester (City of) NH Airport Enterprise, NH	Baa1 negative	12.1	27%
Massachusetts Port Authority	Aa2 stable	141.3	18%
Memphis-Shelby County Airport Authority, TN	A2 stable	24.7	23%
Metropolitan Knoxville Airport Authority, TN	A3 stable	25.8	77%
Metropolitan Nashville Airport Authority, TN	A2 stable	55.0	31%
Metropolitan Washington Airports Authority, DC	Aa3 stable	229.1	27%
Miami-Dade (County of) FL Airport Enterprise, FL	A2 stable	206.9	23%
Milwaukee (County of) WI Airport Enterprise, WI	A1 stable	29.0	31%
New Orleans Aviation Board, LA	A2 stable	42.8	43%
Niagara Frontier Transportation Authority, NY	A3 stable	21.6	30%
Norfolk Airport Authority, VA	A3 stable	19.8	41%
Oklahoma City Airport Trust, OK	A1 stable	21.8	31%
Omaha Airport Authority, NE	Aa3 stable	32.8	55%
Palm Beach (County of) FL Airport Enterprise, FL	A1 stable	36.6	43%
Philadelphia (City of) PA Airport Enterprise, PA	A2 stable	116.3	24%
Phoenix (City of) AZ Airport Enterprise, AZ	A1 stable	147.9	29%
Portland (City of) ME Airport Enterprise, ME	Baa1 stable	12.2	45%
Port Authority of New York and New Jersey	Aa3 stable	443.8	15%
Port of Seattle, WA	A1 stable	192.1	30%
Raleigh-Durham Airport Authority, NC	Aa3 stable	49.6	29%
Rapid City (City of) SD Airport Enterprise, SD	Baa2 stable	9.3	108%
Rhode Island Airport Corporation, RI	Baa1 stable	21.7	29%
Richland-Lexington Airport District, SC	Baa1 stable	8.9	41%
Sacramento (County of) CA Airport Enterprise, CA	A2 stable	49.8	24%
Salt Lake City (City of) UT Airport Enterprise, UT	A2 stable	82.4	39%
San Antonio (City of) TX Airport Enterprise, TX	A1 stable	39.7	30%
San Diego County Regional Airport Authority, CA	A1 stable	91.2	29%
San Francisco Airport Commission, CA	A1 stable	254.8	22%
San Jose (City of) CA Airport Enterprise, CA	A2 stable	65.6	31%
St. Louis (City of) MO Airport Enterprise, MO	A2 stable	60.0	37%
Susquehanna Area Regional Airport Authority, PA	Baa3 stable	9.8	32%
Tulsa Airports Improvement Trust, OK	Baa1 stable	15.5	35%
Wayne County Airport Authority, MI	A1 stable	141.9	31%

Source: Moody's Investors Service from Federal Aviation Administration data

Moody's related publications

Moody's.com topic pages

- » [Coronavirus Effects](#)
- » [Coronavirus Policy Response](#)

Series

- » [Credit Risks in Turbulent Times: Extensive credit shocks are hitting sectors, regions and markets](#)

Outlooks

- » [Global Macro Outlook 2020-21 \(April 2020 Update\): Global recession is deepening rapidly as restrictions exact high economic cost](#), 28 April 2020
- » [Infrastructure & Project Finance – Global: Transportation outlooks largely negative as coronavirus saps demand; utilities outlooks remain mostly stable](#), 21 April 2020
- » [Airports – US: Outlook revised to negative as expectation of enplanements drops](#), 20 March 2020
- » [Passenger Airlines – Global: Outlook revised to negative as coronavirus stresses passenger demand](#), 6 March 2020

Sector Comments

- » [Oil & Gas – Global: Recession and uncertain demand recovery weigh on oil prices in 2020-21](#), 28 April 2020
- » [State and local government – US CARES Act offers welcome but limited relief from coronavirus' state and local fiscal challenges](#), 7 April 2020
- » [Airlines – US: CARES Act grants option to extend liquidity runways](#), 1 April 2020
- » [Airports – US: CARES Act will mitigate revenue declines for US airports](#), 29 March 2020
- » [The State of the US Consumer](#), 19 March 2020
- » [Coronavirus and oil price shocks: managing ratings in turbulent times](#), 17 March 2020

Sector In-Depths

- » [PIF ratings review summary](#), 23 April 2020
- » [Coronavirus – US: Coronavirus stimulus will lessen economic pain, but credit climate will remain difficult](#), 10 April 2020
- » [Default Trends – Global: Default scenarios as coronavirus-induced economic turmoil intensifies](#), 27 March 2020
- » [Passenger Airlines – Global: Airlines' credit quality weakens as coronavirus causes unprecedented stress](#), 20 March 2020
- » [Infrastructure & Project Finance – Global: Coronavirus effects immediate for airports; other sectors less imminently exposed](#), 20 March 2020
- » [Default and recovery rates for project finance bank loans, 1983-2018](#), 9 March 2020

© 2020 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND/OR ITS CREDIT RATINGS AFFILIATES ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND MATERIALS, PRODUCTS, SERVICES AND INFORMATION PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S (COLLECTIVELY, "PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE SUCH CURRENT OPINIONS. MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT OR IMPAIRMENT. SEE MOODY'S RATING SYMBOLS AND DEFINITIONS PUBLICATION FOR INFORMATION ON THE TYPES OF CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS ADDRESSED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE CREDIT RATINGS. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS, NON-CREDIT ASSESSMENTS ("ASSESSMENTS"), AND OTHER OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. AND/OR ITS AFFILIATES. MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS DO NOT COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS AND OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLISHES ITS PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS, AND PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS OR PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER. ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY ANY PERSON AS A BENCHMARK AS THAT TERM IS DEFINED FOR REGULATORY PURPOSES AND MUST NOT BE USED IN ANY WAY THAT COULD RESULT IN THEM BEING CONSIDERED A BENCHMARK.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing its Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY CREDIT RATING, ASSESSMENT, OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any credit rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for credit ratings opinions and services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,000 to approximately \$2,700,000. MCO and Moody's investors Service also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of Moody's Investors Service credit ratings and credit rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold credit ratings from Moody's Investors Service and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moody.com under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any credit rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for credit ratings opinions and services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY125,000 to approximately JPY250,000,000.

MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.